

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,245	04/23/2004	Chris John Short	81101274 / FMC 1376 PUS 1	3244	
22844	7590 06/13/2005	·	EXAMINER		
FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC. SUITE 600 - PARKLANE TOWERS EAST			ENGLISH, PETER C		
ONE PARKLANE BLVD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DEARBORN	I, MI 48126		3616		
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2009	DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/709,245 SHORT ET AL. Response to Rule 312 Communication Examiner Art Unit Peter C. English 3616 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -1. X The amendment filed on <u>09 March 2005</u> under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) a entered. b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below. The amendments to the specification are improper because they fail to properly identify the locations of the amended paragraphs. The first amended paragraph should have been identified as "Paragraph 0001", and the second amended paragraph should have been identified as "Paragraph 0020". Note that Paragraph 0020 does not appear on page 4 of the electronically-filed specification. Further, the proposed amendment to Paragraph 0001 is improper since the paragraph should read, "This application is a division of U.S. Application No. 10/117,153 filed April 5, 2002, Patent No. 6,746,045." Still

further, the proposed amendment to Paragraph 0001 is unnecessary since the patent number has already been inserted by the examiner.

> PAUL N. DICKSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

Peter C. English **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 3616